RUS IN URBE: THE DOMUS AUREA AND NERONIAN HORTI IN THE CITY OF ROME

Introduction

Tyrant, monster, prima donna – Nero is one of histories most notorious figures. Renowned as the emperor who ‘fiddled while Rome burned’, he built a huge palace and landscaped grounds in the heart of the city. Known as the Golden House, or Domus Aurea, it forced the poor from their homes and took over Rome. This is the view passed down to us from antiquity, recorded in the accounts of Martial, Suetonius and Tacitus. Formulated by Nero’s aristocratic enemies, it is a version that should be treated with caution in the light of history. In this paper it is my intention to investigate the propaganda significance of the Domus Aurea estate. Transferring the ‘countryside into the city’, or rus in urbe, it was a ground-breaking venture never before witnessed at Rome. In clarifying its symbolic meaning, this study will assume the following tripartite form. By means of introduction, the term hortus will be defined, and the Golden House set in its broader historical context. Next, the issue of precedent will be considered, in particular whether the Augustan Campus Martius provided a physical and conceptual model for Nero’s estate. Finally, I shall set forth the view that the Golden House aimed to surpass the municipal legacy of Augustus and redefine the city of Rome. In so doing, it is my contention the Domus Aurea was conceived as the showpiece of a new ‘Neronian’ Golden Age.

From their humble farming origins in days gone by, a hortus can be defined as an urban villa with a park, imitating in many respects the palace complexes of Hellenistic kings. Incorporating fountains, pools and plantations of trees, they were highly ordered, decorative creations. As Varro and Pliny inform us, horticulture was offset by man-made components in the pursuit of panoramic views, notably pavilions, terraces and statuary. Forming a band of greenery on the outskirts of Rome, they fulfilled public and
private functions for their wealthy owners – close enough to city for ease of access, they at the same time provided a sense of privacy from the metropolis beyond. While the Golden House retained the title of domus as an imperial residence, it is recognised as the most spectacular set of horti ever created (fig.1). Suetonius tells us how it linked the Palatine Hill with the Gardens of Maecenas on the Esquiline Hill.4 Embracing an area of 40-80 hectares (100-200 acres), its grounds were both lavish and extensive, the equivalent of Hyde Park or Vatican City.5 Topographically it had the character of a basin, utilizing the hillsides that encircled the would-be Colosseum Valley.6 From Nero’s golden palace and Colossus high on its slopes to the vast lake, or stagnum, adorning the valley floor below, viewers would have been enveloped in an eye-catching landscape. While Rome’s crowded cityscape had prevented the construction of horti within the capital, the Great Fire of Rome in 64 afforded Nero an unprecedented opportunity. Transferring to the centre of the city what had once been daring on its periphery, he left himself open to criticism, in particular the charge of ‘imitating nature’.

Imitation of Nature

Tacitus records in the Annals how Severus and Celer, the architects of the Golden House had tried: “the force of art even against the veto of nature”.7 The imitation of nature concerned any challenge to the natural world, a trend vehemently opposed by moralists, especially the Stoics, at Rome. From tampering with the sea to altering the physical landscape, legendary attempts to ‘create like nature’ would have been familiar to the Romans. For example, King Xerxes was known to have conquered the sea by bridging the Hellespont during his march on Greece.8 More radical still was the proposal of the architect Dinocrates to carve a colossal likeness of Alexander the Great into the side of Mount Athos, a rock face some 30 miles long and 6,500 thousand feet high.9 Such flamboyant schemes accorded with the renown of the protagonist, and as such the Golden House intended to do the same for Nero. A similar mentality is evident in the creation of horti during the late Republic. From the Horti Luculliani (163 B.C) to the famed Horti Sallustiani (40 B.C) ever more luxurious estates were conceived by Rome’s senatorial elite in the quest for political and social advantage. Needless to say, the Domus Aurea signified a radical advancement on these horti. Embracing nature on an altogether grander scale, Nero’s palatial grounds incorporated the cultivated environment and untamed wild simultaneously. Housing a multitude of wild and domestic animals, vineyards and tilled lands were offset by the rural seclusion of woods and open ground.10 As a result, Nero can be seen to have almost paradoxically ‘domesticated the wild’ and achieved something wholly unique within the city of Rome.11
Augustine Rome: Horti and the Aureum Saeculum

Ground-breaking as the Domus Aurea was in bringing the countryside to Rome, Nero was certainly influenced by the municipal strategy of Augustus. Imparting an unprecedented physical and conceptual unity on the cityscape, he established an enduring ‘Augustan’ legacy that pervaded Rome. With improved aqueduct and irrigation systems, Augustus was able to complement architecture with abundant foliage throughout the capital. While many of Rome’s foremost estates were seized by the Julio-Claudian emperors, it was Augustus who aimed to surpass them through the creation of ‘imperially sponsored’ versions. Along with the Gardens of Maecenas on the Esquiline, this was most evident in Rome’s northern flood plain, the Campus Martius (fig.2). A relatively unexploited area during the Republic, the lavish grounds of Pompey (Horti Pompeiani) set a crucial precedent by permitting freedom-of-access to all civilians. Accordingly, Augustus ensured the Campus Martius was transformed into lush parkland to be shared with the Roman people. Incorporating a lake (stagnum) and canal (euripus), the Baths of Agrippa provided palatial grounds for recreation and exercise. Similarly, the funerary gardens and adjacent park of the Augustan Mausoleum offered leisurely walks for those going to and from Rome. With the Horti Agrippae also adorning the Campus, viewers were free to bask in their surroundings and escape from the hectic city beyond.

Hindered by Rome’s Republican cityscape, the Campus Martius was the sole locale to be completely transformed in the Augustan Age. Despite widespread urbanization, the extensive use of greenery and foliage implies that horti fulfilled a conscious propaganda function. The Ludi Saeculares had marked the advent of the Golden Age, or aureum saeculum in 17 B.C. Just as the region’s cohesive urban fabric mirrored the order and harmony of Augustan society, so its flourishing horti alluded to the prosperity and abundance of the new age. Furthermore, such a fertile backdrop would have accorded with the recreational focus of the Campus. Along with the Baths of Agrippa, the theatres of Balbus, Marcellus and Pompey enabled an unprecedented number of people to be entertained. Set within palatial surroundings, horti thus augmented the restful ambience of the Campus and reiterated how leisure could thrive in an ‘Augustan’ aureum saeculum. Intelligible on a variety of sensorial levels, particularly sight, it should be recalled that neighbouring representations of Augustus reminded viewers that he alone had attained the Golden Age. Ultimately then, horti created an intriguing paradox in the Campus Martius. While retaining its longstanding military associations, the Field of the war god Mars was transformed into a tranquil parkland symbolic of peace.
Distinguishing the Domus Aurea

To return to the Golden House then – was Nero attempting to transcend the Augustan Campus Martius? In rebuilding Rome after the Great Fire of 64, it is likely the Domus Aurea was conceived as the focal point of Nero’s ‘New City’ (urbs nova). As Tacitus remarks, he benefited from the ruin of his people, since in obliterating Rome’s crowded cityscape, the Fire made a previously impossible venture a reality. Ancient critics are united in the view that the Golden House had taken over Rome, Pliny alleging it encircled the capital\(^\text{18}\) and Martial commenting how: “a single house now stood in all the City.”\(^\text{19}\) Despite the exaggeration of these assertions, Nero’s expansive grounds would have dominated Rome. While the surrounding landscape in horti assumed a subordinate role to the central residence,\(^\text{20}\) the unprecedented scale and design of the Domus Aurea estate suggests to me that it too was of considerable propaganda value. In displacing commuter routes and commercial districts in the Colosseum Valley, Nero’s grounds were surely accessible to city-dwellers.\(^\text{21}\) Yet, the fact he selected such a contentious locale, one that would cause maximum disruption, indicates he had a conscious objective in mind. It is therefore my view that Nero located the Golden House in the heart of Rome because he wanted the populace to traverse its palatial grounds, so ensuring onlookers were susceptible to the imagery they encountered. influentially, ancient critics accuse Nero of treating Rome as his house and excluding its populace.\(^\text{22}\) As one might expect, this is not consistent with the facts. Yes, Nero treated Rome as his house, that much can be surmised from the extent and overwhelming presence of the Domus Aurea. But restricting civilian access would have been counterproductive – hated by much of the senatorial elite after the Fire, Nero relied on the sustained support of the city’s plebeian masses.\(^\text{23}\) It was therefore crucial for Nero to actively embrace his subjects, not exclude them. Ultimately then, Nero may have treated Rome as his house, but it was a house he shared with the Roman people.

Leisure and the Neronian Aureum Saeculum

Nero’s solar ideology has long been a matter of scholarly debate, in particular whether the Golden House was created as a ‘Palace of the Sun’.\(^\text{24}\) One thing seems certain however - Nero’s association with the sun god, Sol-Helios was fundamental to the Neronian Golden Age, or aureum saeculum. Proclaimed after the Great Fire, the synchronizing of Rome’s reconstruction with a new epoch of peace must have been hugely symbolic, the Domus Aurea its intended showpiece. It has been proposed how the combination of horti and recreation in the Campus Martius reiterated the stability of
the Augustan *aureum saeculum*. I would suggest that in propagating the pre-eminence of his version, Nero sought to provide leisure with a new guise. Bringing *horti* to Rome, the Domus Aurea offered a fitting setting in the heart of the city.

A number of recent studies have claimed that in building the Golden House, Nero intended to recreate Baiae, the notorious pleasure capital of Italy, at Rome. Citing the palace’s imitation of Campanian seaside villas, they also refer to the wanton excess of the Juvenalia in 59 and the famed Banquet of Tigellinus in 64. While both occurred prior to the Great Fire, I suspect they were part of a permanent ‘leisure culture’ in Neronian Rome. As the accounts of Tacitus and Dio reveal, societal order was thrown into chaos at these festivities, moral inhibition replaced by limitless drinking, gambling and prostitution. While the aforementioned events occurred in the Campus Martius, it is not unreasonable to assume that the Domus Aurea hosted such revelries. Like the Campus, it was blessed with a sizeable body of water, the *Stagnum Neronis*, so providing an alternative venue for Nero’s cruises and debauchery. Of course, the Roman elite had tolerated such conduct for a temporary period each year during the *Saturnalia*, masters serving their slaves and the poor acting as judges. Nonetheless, it has been proposed that Nero disposed of this norm and encouraged a year-round *Saturnalia*, so satisfying his eccentric personality and bringing him political advantage. Drawing Nero closer to the plebeian masses by appealing to their base instincts, it would have also undermined his aristocratic enemies. In effect then, the freeing of *Saturnalian* behaviour from its seasonal boundaries would have both suited and benefited Nero.

While Nero’s theatrical tendencies and unstable character contributed to the unrestrained excess of Neronian leisure, there is perhaps a more influential motive to be considered. Julio-Claudian tradition dictated that straightforward imitation of the ‘Augustan’ *aureum saeculum* was not acceptable - no, Nero had to create something new and unprecedented to surpass the legacy of his illustrious forbear. How though did the Domus Aurea estate correspond with Neronian leisure and propagate a new-styled ‘Golden Age’? As stated, the physical order and copious foliage of the Campus Martius had reflected the stability and abundance of the Augustan Age. In contrast, the Golden House brought *horti*, and in particular the uncultivated wild, to Rome. This signified a ‘new beginning’, one that required validation in other spheres of Roman life. I believe that year-round *Saturnalian* behaviour was such a means, an original trend that concurred with the radical transference of *horti* to the heart of the city. With Rome’s physical form and societal behaviour realigned in this way, Nero’s *aureum saeculum* would have been characterised as an era of innovation and incessant pleasure. Sharing in its uninhibited excesses, the masses would have been Nero’s closest friends, the Golden House a palatial backdrop epitomising the new age.
Visual Propaganda: Emperor, City and Empire

The Domus Aurea estate was soon confined to memory on Nero’s demise in 68. In their efforts to ‘rehabilitate’ the city, the Flavian emperors were quick to replace Neronian horti with the Colosseum and other publicly orientated structures. While the palace itself survived until the fire of Trajan in 104, Nero’s eye-catching ‘imitation of nature’ could not be allowed to endure. Indeed, it seems highly credible Severus and Celer created the Domus Aurea with visual symbolism and sensorial impact in mind. Formed naturally by the valley and hillsides, it would have enveloped viewers and compelled them to look at the surrounding landscape. Up at the Colossus and Oppian façade, down on the lake and expansive grounds, it was an amphitheatre, an enclosed environment propagating the ‘Neronian’ Golden Age. Nature abounded throughout the region, but as noted, it did so against wild and cultivated backdrops. In contrast to the ordered horti of the Augustan Campus Martius, I feel this duality intended to evoke a more all-encompassing perception of peace, one that brought stability to the untamed wild and the civilised city. Regularly encountered by civilians navigating Rome, one can imagine such an allusion was visually striking and to many highly persuasive.

Emblematic of Nero’s ‘New City’, the Golden House and Colossus Neronis cast an imposing shadow from atop Rome’s hills. Highly conspicuous throughout the capital, their sheer scale intended to reflect Nero’s imperial status—the Colossus 120 Roman feet high, the vestibule within which it was housed adjoined by a mile-long triple colonnade. Working in tandem, I suspect the play on light was hugely symbolic. Radiating the sun from their elevated positions, the bronze Colossus and ‘golden’ Oppian residence would have recalled Nero’s association with Sol-Helios. In addition, the latter’s east-west orientation ensured its south-facing façade was bedecked in sunlight throughout the day. An enduring reminder of the Golden Age, it would have appeared quite literally as a ‘Palace of the Sun’. While the utilization of material wealth for moral purposes was condemned by contemporaries, notably Seneca, it is not unreasonable to assume that the assimilation of light and fertile horti recalled Rome’s rejuvenation after the Fire. In this respect, Nero’s commanding presence was hugely significant, for akin to Augustus’s pervasiveness in the Campus Martius, it confirmed him as founder of a ‘New Rome’ and the Golden Age it basked in.

Little has been said thus far of the Stagnum Neronis, the enormous pool that adorned the centre of the Domus Aurea estate. It was the third such body of water to be constructed at Rome after the Stagnum Agrippae and the Naumachia Augusti in the Campus Martius. But in contrast to its forerunners, Nero’s pool was the first to be
surrounded by permanent structures of great size and number. As Suetonius remarks: “There was a pond too, like a sea, surrounded with buildings to represent cities”. It has been stated elsewhere how the Domus Aurea may have imitated Campanian seaside villas. Looking out over a huge urbanized stagnum, this seems a highly plausible suggestion. Compared to a ‘sea’ and ‘cities’, it is also possible that the vast pool paralleled the Mediterranean world, a region Rome enjoyed unrivalled ascendancy over. Akin to the duality of pax in the Augustan Campus, so Nero’s stagnum would have confirmed Roman supremacy in a new era of peace. The straightening of the Sacra Via would have been an important visual ploy in this regard. Encouraging civilians to ascend the Velian Hill to the Colossus Neronis, they would have looked down on a microcosm of the known world. An astonishing combination of urban and rural elements, it confirmed Nero as master of Rome, the Empire and architect of the aureum saeculum itself.

Conclusion

In conclusion, history confirms the Domus Aurea was a project never repeated at Rome. As the estates of Domitian (Alba) and Hadrian (Tivoli) show, the Neronian experiment compelled later emperors to locate their palatial retreats beyond the Eternal City. Nero’s transference of horti to the heart of Rome was an act of unprecedented audacity. While his youthful exuberance, philhellenism and desire for the spectacular all assisted the emergence of the Golden House, it should not be viewed solely as the creation of an unstable mind. I have suggested that Nero was subject to an enduring Augustan legacy, one his Julio-Claudian forbears were unable to overcome. Despite its destruction, the Domus Aurea estate dared to challenge this precedent and redefine the city of Rome. A venture of immense propaganda value, it is my view that Nero’s innovation should be praised, not condemned as history would have us believe.
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The Domus Aurea was part of the project that Nero had devised to convert and transform Rome into a new city "Neropolis" following the Hellenistic model in the manner of Alexandria, this is, a city with orthogonal plane with squares and wide rectilinear streets. With this same ideology, 60 years later, Emperor Hadrian built the gigantic Villa Tiburtina 30 km from Rome. What is the Domus Aurea nowadays? The construction of the Domus Aurea also known as Golden House has been considered the most extravagant construction in the history of Rome. Its huge golden dome thanks to which it received its name was one of the many extravagant elements of its decoration. Neronian Buttress system Makes Domus Aurea huge. www.flickr.com/photos/imperial_fora_of_rome/7033546791/in... Ê Domus Aurea From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia Jump to: navigation, search The Domus Aurea (Latin for "Golden House") was a large landscaped portico villa, designed to take advantage of artificially created landscapes built in the heart of Ancient Rome by the Roman emperor Nero after the Great fire of Rome, which devastated Rome in 64 AD, had cleared away the aristocratic. Bringing horti to Rome, the Domus Aurea offered a fitting setting in the heart of the city. A number of recent studies have claimed that in building the Golden House, Nero intended to recreate Baiae, the notorious pleasure capital of Italy, at Rome.25 Citing the palace’s imitation of Campanian seaside villas, they also refer to the wanton excess of the Juvenalia in 5926 and the famed Banquet of Tigellinus in 64.27 While both occurred prior to the. In conclusion then, history confirms the Domus Aurea was a project never repeated at Rome. As the estates of Domitian (Alba) and Hadrian (Tivoli) show, the Neronian experiment compelled later emperors to locate their palatial retreats beyond the Eternal City.